

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
MAY 12 2006

***** FACSIMILE COVER SHEET *****

MAY 11 2006 23:53

Message To:

915712738300

Message From:

05

Pages

Follow This Cover Page

Microsoft**Fax** Transmittal FormRECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 12 2006

Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052-6399
USAwww.microsoft.com
Phone: (425) 882-8080
Fax: (425) 93-MSFAX (936-7329)
Telex: 160520 Mft Bvue

To: <u>Pramila Parthasarathy</u>	From: <u>Jim Banowsky</u>
Company: <u>USPTO, Act Unit 2136</u>	Bldg/Room: <u>211066</u>
CC:	Phone Number: <u>425/705-3539</u>
Phone Number:	Date & Time Sent: <u>5/4/06</u>
Fax Number: <u>571/273-0300</u>	No. of Pages: <u>5</u>

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment/Reply

Message...

Re: 09/033,922 ; 10/010,352AUTHORIZATION for Email Communications

Pursuant to MPEP Section 502.03, the undersigned attorney

for Applicant authorizes Pramila Parthasarathy

to communicate with him via email to the address shown below.

James R. Banowsky
JAMES R. BANOWSKY
Reg No. 37,713
jimban@microsoft.com

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee listed on this cover sheet. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone at the number listed on this cover sheet and return the original message to us at the above address via the United States Postal Service. We will reimburse you for the message transmission. Thank you.

MAY 12 2006

Application Serial No.:..... 09/833,922
Filing Date:..... 04/12/2001
Inventors:..... O'Shea, Gregory
Applicant:..... Microsoft Corporation
Group Art Unit:..... 2136
Examiner:..... Parthasarathy, P.
Confirmation No.:..... 3840
Applicant's Docket No.:..... 171135.01
Title: Methods and Systems for Unilateral Authentication of Messages

EXAMINER INTERVIEW AGENDA, MAY 17, 2006, 200p - 300p EDT

Location: Office of Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy, USPTO

Dear Examiner Parthasarathy:

Pursuant to my telephone message I left on your voicemail system, I anticipate coming by your office on the date indicated above for a personal interview regarding the referenced matter. At the same time, I would like to review matter number 10/010,352. A separate agenda for that matter will be sent together with this agenda.

First, there is a matter of an accurate date of the O'Shea reference listed in an IDS filed with this matter and cited as a reference in the current Office Action dated 02/27/2006. The IDS incorrectly listed the reference date as being in the year 2000. In actuality, the publication date of said reference is April 2001.

It is noted that the author of the disputed reference is an inventor of the present invention and that Applicant was aware of the accurate publication date at the time the present application was filed (in April, less than a year after said publication). It is easy to see from the face of the reference why a clerical error was made since the date of 2000 is listed in a footnote on the first page of the reference.

Applicant, as an officer licensed to practice before the USPTO, avers to the authenticity of the date of publication. If this is not enough proof for the Examiner to accept this date as the date of the reference, Applicant requests that the Examiner make a request indicating what proof is required to establish the correct date for this

reference, be it an affidavit from the author of the publication (Gregory O'Shea) or something else.


Additionally, Applicant would like to review the substance of the rejections. Particularly, Applicant believes that Applicant's previous arguments claiming that neither reference teaches or suggests deriving a network address from a public key are correct. Therefore, Applicant would like to discuss the Examiner's rationale for upholding the rejection in this regard.

Furthermore, Applicant wants to discuss the term "care-of address" as recited in the claims. Applicant does not believe that either of the cited reference discloses, teaches or suggests a care-of address as recited in the present application.

One other note - our in-house group is starting a plan where we hope to have an attorney from our team in DC and at the USPTO for two weeks of every month - typically, the final two weeks of a month. We hope to do this until we can establish a small office in the area in the near future. I will be making several of these trips and would like to meet as many Examiners as I can while I am there. So I look forward to meeting with you next week.

Thank you for your time and consideration. If you wish to reach me to discuss this agenda, I may be reached at (425)705-3539 during regular business hours, PDT.

Best Regards,


James R. Banowsky
Microsoft Corporation
Reg. No. 37,773

5-11-2006
Date